

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 24.11.2006

Coram:-

The Hon^{ble} Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon^{ble} Mr. Justice S.TAMILVANAN

Writ Appeal No.2577 of 2001

B.Rajalakshmi ... Appellant

Vs.

1.The Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission,
rep., by its Joint Secretary,
Madras-2.

2.The Collector of Madras,
Madras.

3.The Tahsildar,
Mambalam, Guindy Taluk,
Madras. .. Respondents

Writ appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 19.06.2000 made in W.P.No.10697 of 1993.

For Appellant : No appearance

For 1st respondent : No appearance

For respondents 2 & 3: Mr.K.Elango,
Special Government Pleader

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by P.SATHASIVAM, J.)

When the above appeal came up for hearing on 15.11.2006, none appeared for the appellant. In order to give one more opportunity, the writ appeal was ordered to be listed today(24.11.2006).

Even today, no one appears for the appellant. The writ appeal is of the year 2001. In view of the fact that the appellant is not evancing interest in prosecuting the appeal, we are of the view that no purpose would be served by keeping the writ appeal any longer. Hence the writ appeal is dismissed for default. No costs.

raa

To

1.The Joint Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission,
Madras-2.

2.The Collector of Madras,
Madras.

3.The Tahsildar,
Mambalam, Guindy Taluk,
Madras.